

Oberlin College
DEPARTMENT OF POLITICS

**POLITICS 212: POLITICAL ECONOMY
OF DEVELOPMENT IN ASIA**

Fall 2007

Professor Marc Blecher

Office: Rice 224; phone: x8493

Office hours: M 2:30-3:20 & Th 2:50-4:00
(see signup sheet outside my office door)

E-mail: marc.blecher@oberlin.edu

Website: www.oberlin.edu/mblecher/m-blech.html

Class meets Monday, Wednesday
and Friday,
1:30-2:20, King 123



Politics 212 surveys and compares the relationships of politics and the economy in China, India, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan. Among the various analytical themes we will weave together are:

- § the role of historical, pre-capitalist structures of political economy and class relations in shaping subsequent development;
- § state socialism vs. capitalism;
- § the effect of country size;
- § import-substitution strategies (emphasizing national self-reliance) vs. export-led development (emphasizing integration with the world economy);
- § the plight of labor;
- § the nature of the state and politics (state capacity and autonomy, the representative vs. the authoritarian state, interest representation [corporatism vs. pluralism], popular political movements, and “democratization”).

The course does not require previous study of economics. I will introduce in a non-technical way the economic concepts and theories needed to get at the issues that concern us. One goal of the course is to help you understand that matters of economics and political economy, which may appear dry or technical, are comprehensible to ordinary mortals and, moreover, that they are flesh-and-blood issues of the profoundest significance to real people.

Before each class I expect you to complete readings that will cover the subject for the day. In class the material cannot be covered in anything approaching the fullness of what you need to know about it; *ipso facto*, lectures cannot substitute for the reading. If you have not done the reading before class, you will not be able to get much out of that class session, and you will, unavoidably, feel lost.

We will make intensive use of Blackboard.

- § Once each week you should respond in writing on our course blog to questions that I will pose for each session. Specifically, those of you with surnames beginning A-H will do so by the end of Sunday night (for Monday’s class), those with surnames I-P by the end of Tuesday night (for Wednesday’s class), and those of with surnames Q-Z by Thursday evening (for Friday’s class). If you miss your appointed day, simply post a reply for another day that week. You may, of course, respond more than once each week if you like; the more often you do so, the more you will learn.
- § Second, you can post questions of fact or interpretation that arise as you do each session’s reading. I and other members of the class may respond to your questions right on the blog, and I will try to do so in the subsequent class. Start a debate!
- § Third, before each class, take a few moments to log in to the blog to read what everyone has written.

You will also write two open-book, take-home essays of approximately 1,500 words (\approx 6 pages) each. The schedule can be found in the course outline below. These papers do require you to demonstrate command of the material, but they are oriented mainly toward developing your engagement with and analysis and interpretation of it. To give you an idea of what to expect and to help you orient your reading and thinking, starting on page 7 below you will find the essay questions used in the most recent offering of the course. You can expect many of the same issues to be treated this time around, probably with some of the same or similar questions.

I will evaluate your work according to the following weightings:

Blog postings	50%
Papers	25% each
Active listening and participation in class	A “fudge factor”

Please take careful note of these proportions. They reflect my conviction that *the daily process of the course is as important* to your learning as *the two papers* you will write. In the past students who assumed that the papers were their only responsibilities for the course have been unpleasantly surprised at the end of the semester. ☹

A little-known but alarming indicator of the decadence of American civilization is that each year we spend five times as much on dog food as on college books. Politics 212 is doing its part to right our priorities. Please purchase:

Marc Blecher, *China Against the Tides*
 Stuart Corbridge and John Harriss, *Reinventing India*
 Thomas Gold, *State and Society in the Taiwan Miracle*
 Barrington Moore, *Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*
 T. J. Pempel, *Régime Shift*
 Elizabeth Perry, ed., *Putting Class in Its Place*
 Lloyd and Susanne Rudolph, *In Pursuit of Lakshmi*
 William Strunk and E. B. White, *Elements of Style*
 Jung-en Woo, *Race to the Swift*

Some of our reading is on E-res; the password is Polt212 (case-specific).

...

SCHEDULE OF CLASSES, TOPICS AND ASSIGNMENTS

September 5: Introduction

September 7-10: Historical Roots of Indian Capitalism and Representative Politics

Barrington Moore, *Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*, chapter 6-7.

September 12: Institutionalization and Import Substitution in Nehruvian India

Stuart Corbridge and John Harriss, *Reinventing India*, chapters 1-3.

Lloyd and Susanne Rudolph, *In Pursuit of Lakshmi*, chapter 1.

September 14: Deinstitutionalization: Indira Gandhi and Sons

Corbridge and Harriss, chapters 4-5.
Rudolphs, chapter 4 & 6.

September 17: Political Economy in India under Import Substitution

Rudolphs, chapters 7-8.

September 19: "Reform" in India

Corbridge and Harriss, chapters 6-7.

September 21: Indian Industrial Labor

Rudolphs, chapter 10.

Leela Fernandes, *Producing Workers: The Politics of Gender, Class and Culture in the Calcutta Jute Mills*, chapters 2 and 3 (book on reserve; chapters on e-res).

September 24: Indian Agriculture

Rudolphs, chapters 12 and 13.

September 26: Gender in India; Social Movements in India

Jean Drèze and Amartya Sen, *India: Economic Development and Social Opportunity*, chapter 7 (book on reserve, chapter on e-res).

Corbridge and Harriss, chapters 8-9 and conclusion.

September 28: Historical Roots of Chinese Socialism

Moore, chapters 4 and 9.

Marc Blecher, *China Against the Tides*, chapter 1.

October 1: Maoist Political Economy

Blecher, chapter 2.

October 3: Dengist Political Economy

Blecher, chapters 3, 6.

FIRST ESSAY TOPICS DISTRIBUTED.

OCTOBER 5-12: CLASS CANCELED DUE TO MY PROFESSIONAL TRAVEL

October 15: Labor in China

Minghua Zhao and Theo Nichols, "Management Control of Labour in State-Owned Enterprises: Cases from the Textile Industry" (on e-res).

Blecher, "Hegemony and Workers' Politics in China" (on e-res)

October 17: Labor and Gender in China

Gail Hershatter, "Chinese Sex Workers in the Reform Period", in Perry, ed., chapter 7.

Marc Blecher, pages 148-155.

OCTOBER 19, NOON: FIRST PAPER DUE

OCTOBER 22-26: CLASS CANCELED DUE TO THE RUNUP TO FALL BREAK**October 29: Political Implications of Reform in China**

Blecher, chapters 7-8.

October 31: Historical Roots of Japanese Political Economy

Moore, chapters 5,8.

November 2-5: The Japanese Postwar Conservative Régime

T. J. Pempel, *Régime Shift: Comparative Dynamics of Japanese Political Economy*, pages 1-16 and chapters 2-3.

November 7: Japanese Crisis and Change in the 1990s, I

Pempel, chapter 5.

November 9: Japanese Crisis and Change in the 1990s, II

Pempel, chapter 6.

November 12: Japanese Industrial Labor

Andrew Gordon, "Conditions for the Disappearance of the Japanese Working-Class Movement", in Perry, ed., chapter 1.

John Price, "The 1960 Miike Coal Mine Dispute: Turning Point for Adversarial Unionism in Japan?" (on e-res; also in Joe Moore, ed., *The Other Japan* [on reserve], chapter 2).

Satoshi Kamata, *Japan in the Passing Lane: An Insider's Account of Life in a Japanese Auto Factory*, chapter 8 (book on reserve, chapter on e-res).

Knuth Dohse, Ulrich Jürgens and Thomas Malsch, "From 'Fordism' to 'Toyotism'? The Social Organization of the Labor Process in the Japanese Automobile Industry" (on reserve and e-res)

November 14: Political Economy in Taiwan from the Sixteenth Century through 1949

Thomas B. Gold, *State and Society in the Taiwan Miracle*, chapters 2-4.

November 16: Political Economy in Modern Taiwan

Gold, chapters 5-8.

November 19: Labor Politics in Taiwan

Nai-teh Wu, "Class Identity without Class Consciousness? Working-Class Orientations in Taiwan", in Perry, ed., chapter 3.

Hsin-Huang Michæl Hsiao, "Changing Literary Images of Taiwan's Working Class", in Perry, ed., chapter 4.

November 21, regular time: Social Movements in Taiwan: A Case of Environmentalism

James Reardon-Anderson, *Pollution, Politics and Foreign Investment in Taiwan: The Lukang Rebellion* (on reserve and e-res).

NOVEMBER 23: CLASS CANCELED DUE TO THANKSGIVING

November 26: Democratization in Taiwan

Stephan Haggard and Robert R. Kaufman, *The Political Economy of Democratic Transitions*, pp. 5-7, 267-282, 291-299 (book on reserve, selections on e-res).

November 28: South Korean Political Economy, I

Jung-en Woo, *Race to the Swift*, chapters 1-3.

November 30: South Korean Political Economy II

Woo, chapters 4-5.

SECOND ESSAY TOPICS DISTRIBUTED.

December 3: South Korean Political Economy III:

Woo, chapters 6-7.

December 5: The Labor Movement in South Korea

Hagen Koo, "Work, Culture, and Consciousness of the Korean Working Class," in Perry, ed., chapter 2.

December 7: Democratization in South Korea

Charles K. Armstrong, "The Politics of Transition in North and South Korea", **N.B. READ ONLY PAGES 5-16** (on e-res; also in David R. McCann, ed., *Korea Briefing: Toward Reunification* [on reserve]).

Stephan Haggard and Robert R. Kaufman, *The Political Economy of Democratic Transitions*, pages 83-97, 232-239 (book on reserve, selections on e-res).

Dorothy J. Solinger, "Ending One-Party Dominance: Korea, Taiwan, Mexico" (online at: <http://journals.ohiolink.edu/cgi-bin/sciserv.pl?collection=journals&journal=10863214&issue=vl2i0001> or just look up *Journal of Democracy* in OBIS for the link)

December 10: The 1997-98 Asian Financial Crisis: The Korean Case

Bruce Cumings, "The Korean Crisis and the End of 'Late' Development" (on e-res; also in *New Left Review* 231 [on reserve], pages 43-72).

December 12: Conclusion

DECEMBER 16, 4:30: SECOND ESSAYS DUE (This deadline is firm, due to strict College rules.)

ESSAY QUESTIONS FROM THE MOST RECENT OFFERING OF THE COURSE

FIRST ESSAY TOPICS

1. India experienced a peaceful transition to capitalism and parliamentary democracy, while China had a revolutionary transition to a state socialist economy. In what ways do the differences between the agrarian structures (*i.e.*, village-level economic, social and political structures, landlord-peasant relationships, the configuration of the agrarian state and its relations with landed élites) and structures of the state and state-society relations of Mughal India and imperial China help explain the differences in their pathways of transformation since the middle of the twentieth century? In what ways is such a mode of explanation limited?
2. In the Nehru period there was some interest in adopting Chinese measures of economic organization and development (Rudolphs, pp. 315-318). Going beyond this small issue and the limited discussion of it on those pages, account for this interest, discuss the historical, political and political-sociological reasons why the state never got serious about them, and speculate on how feasible and effective such a set of policies would have been in India had the state tried to pursue them more seriously. Then compare with China, analyzing what it was about that country which India lacked that enabled it to undertake collectivization successfully.
3. The Rudolphs argue that successful economic development can be consistent with representative politics. Yet in China the decidedly illiberal state has been absolutely essential in mobilizing resources for and directing economic development. Discuss, paying equal attention to both cases.
4. Compare the processes, outcomes, and political aspects (including prerequisites and/or effects) of import-substitution industrialization in India and China.
5. The Rudolphs speak of political deinstitutionalization and the rise of demand politics. In China the state too has become increasingly self-serving and cut off from society, and popular political movements have captured the world's attention. In each country, what do these political processes have to do with economics? Conclude with a comparison of India and China in these terms.
6. "A high capacity state requires a high level of autonomy from society. In turn, it is necessary for economic development." (Kurt Remarque) Comment, comparing India and China.
7. In both India and China, industrial labor is politically weak. Compare the reasons why this is so, relating the issue to relevant wider aspects of each country's political and economic system and policies.
8. Corbridge and Harriss speak of "passive revolution" as both a description and a prescription for political change in India. Compare it with Mao's notion of "uninterrupted revolution" and/or with the kinds of political change that have occurred in China since 1978. Ground these political forms in the economic systems of each country at the time you are discussing.

9. Why has India been so much more hesitant about economic reform than China? What, if anything, might this have to do with the way each country undertook ISI? With their political systems (including, perhaps, régime types, mixes of demand and command politics, state capacity, state autonomy)?
 10. Women and men have benefited and suffered differently from economic development in both India and China. Compare the two cases, showing in each case how the outcomes result from aspects of the wider political economy.
- II. Write out your own question, discuss it with me, and then respond to it in writing.

SECOND ESSAY TOPICS

1. In what ways do the similarities and differences between the pre-capitalist agrarian and political structures of Japan and either Mughal India or imperial China help explain some of the salient similarities or differences in their subsequent pathways of transformation? In what ways is such a mode of explanation limited?
2. Discuss the effects of imperialism on economic development in China and/or India on the one hand and Taiwan and/or South Korea on the other.
3. Japan, Taiwan and South Korea have all possessed states with high capacity. They have differed somewhat with respect to the autonomy of the state from social forces, though all have excluded workers politically (albeit in different ways), and their relations with their bourgeoisies and farmers have differed. Choose one or at most two cases, and compare the origins and nature of the state and state-society relations with that in India or China. Then analyze the effects of all this on some important aspects of economic development.
4. The Rudolphs argue that successful economic development can be consistent with representative politics. Yet in Taiwan and South Korea decidedly illiberal states have been absolutely essential in mobilizing resources for and directing economic development. Discuss, paying equal attention to both cases and making reference to the Indian case.
5. Compare the conditions of possibility, political rationales, and specific means of implementation of import substitution industrialization in India or China on the one hand and Taiwan and/or South Korea on the other.
6. Evaluate the processes, problems and political implications of export led-development in a large country like India and/or China on the basis of your studies of Japan and/or Taiwan and/or South Korea.
7. Discuss the process and economic implications of democratization in Taiwan and/or South Korea, and compare with India and Japan.
8. Discuss the process of and prospects for democratization in China, based on your knowledge of Taiwan and South Korea both historically and in more recent years.
9. Discuss the mechanisms that have subordinated labor in India or China on the one hand and Japan and/or Taiwan and/or South Korea on the other. Then address one or more of the following questions: Do different strategies of labor subordination have different effects on workers? On economic development? Do they have different implications for political change?

10. What are the underlying reasons why China and Taiwan were less affected than was Korea by the 1997 Asian financial crisis?

11. All the countries we studied this semester received foreign aid at certain key stages in their development. Evaluate its effect on shaping the political economy of India and/or China on the one hand and Japan and/or Taiwan and/or Korea on the other. Pay attention to just what was affected (institutions, policies or both), how significant the effect was, and, if it fits with your approach, how it interacted political nationalism (at the elite or mass levels or both). Place your response in the context of the other important factors shaping development that we have studied.

12. Compose your own question, discuss it with me, and then respond to it in writing.